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ABSTRACT

The structural distribution of the auxiliary verbs in Ìjesà dialect of Yorùbá is highlighted in this paper. The description is carried out within the framework of Generalised Phrase Structure Grammar (GPSG) as promulgated by Gazdar et al. (1985). The analysis of the sequential co-occurrence of the auxiliary verbs demonstrated in this study does not fail to take into cognizance the structural relevance of the variants of these auxiliary verbs. The paper further discusses a comparative study of the markers of the auxiliary verbs in Ìjesà and Standard Yorùbá.
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INTRODUCTION

The verb constitutes the core of the syntax; and most especially the Yorùbá syntax, and subsequently its numerous varieties. There are however subclasses of the verb, Auxiliary is one of them. It can occur as the first verb in a VP. We can make a formal distinction between auxiliary verbs and main verbs, following the transformational rule, that auxiliary verbs have the value [+ AUX] and main verbs the value [- AUX]. Nevertheless, we shall give an analysis in this paper, which will enumerate the distribution of the auxiliary verbs in Ìjà; and also compare the markers of the auxiliary verb with that of the Standard Yorùbá (SY henceforth). The analysis will be carried out within the framework of Generalised Phrase Structure Grammar (GPSG henceforth).

Ìjesà is one of the dialects of the Yorùbá language. Délànò (1958), Adétúgbò (1967), Oyèláràn (1976) and Awóbùlúyì (1998), all classified Ìjesà within the Central Yorùbá (CY) dialect subgroup. Ìjesà dialect speakers have a fairly wide geographical spread spanning over a radius of about 65 kilometres within the State of Nigeria. No dialect is completely homogeneous, so there are a lot of sub-dialects (variants) within the dialect itself: Ilésà, Esà-Òkè, Ìbòkun, Ìpetu-Ìjesà, Ifewàrà and Erin-Ìjesà. The followings in (1) testify to this. As a result of the scope of this study however, we will be using the data collected at Erin-Ìjesà.
The auxiliary verbs we are going to discuss in this analysis are as follows:

(2) (a) NEG
(b) gboodo 'must' and its variants
(c) á 'will' and its variants
(d) mí 'PROG' and its variants
(e) í 'HAB'
(f) tl 'has'
(g) yé 'can'

Attempts have always been made by the Yorùbá grammarians to account for the distribution of the auxiliary verbs in the language. This is noticed in works like Bámgbósé (1966), Awóbùlúyì (1967), Oke (1972), Oyèláràn (1982) and Adéwolé (1989). Some of the elements classified in these works did not take cognizance of dialectal inputs. In this paper however, we shall be examining the order of the Yorùbá auxiliary verbs from a dialectological perspective, and see what new things this may teach us about the syntax of the language.

1. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

GPSG, the theoretical framework within which this paper is being analysed, is promulgated by Gazdar et al. (1985). The grammar is monostratal, it posits only one level of syntactic representation in the surface structure. GPSG does not deal with transformation, and syntactic analyses are generally allied to explicit semantic analyses. At the heart of GPSG is the idea of formal features. The relevant details of the features and rules, as they are needed in this study, can be summarised fairly briefly:
(3) are sets of Feature Co-occurrence Restrictions (FCRs) while (4) highlights other sets of features that will be used in this analysis.

(3)  
FCR 1 :  [+ NEG] ⊃ [+ AUX] 
FCR 2 :  [+ PROG] ⊃ [+ ASP] 
FCR 3 :  [+ PERF] ⊃ [+ ASP] 
FCR 4 :  [+ OBL] ⊃ [+ M] 
FCR 5 :  [+ POT] ⊃ [+ M] 
FCR 6 :  [+ ASS] ⊃ [+ M] 
FCR 7 :  [+ ASP] ⊃ [+ AUX] 
FCR 8 :  [+ M] ⊃ [+ AUX] 
FCR 9 :  [+ HAB] ⊃ [+ ASP] 

(4)  
[+ NEG]  (Negative) 
[+ HAB]  (Habitual) 
[+ ASS]  (Assumptive) 
[+ OBL]  (Obligative) 
[+ ALT]  (Alternant) 
[+ BSE]  (Base-Form) 
[+ PROG]  (Progressive) 
[+ POT]  (Potential) 
[+ PERF]  (Perfect) 
[+ ASP]  (Aspect) 
[+ M]  (Modal) 
[+ AUX]  (Auxiliary) 

Immediate Dominance Rule (ID-Rule) and Linear Precedence Rule (LP-Rule) which respectively define possible co-occurrence restrictions specify on a tree, and the left to right ordering of words within a phase, are necessary rules in (5) and (6) that will facilitate our analysis.

(5)  LRP 1:  [+ AUX, + ∞] ✴ ✴ ✴ [+ AUX, + ∞, +/- ALT] 

(6)  
LPR 2:  V ✴ 
{ [+ NEG] 
{ [+ NEG, + ALT 2] 
{ [+ NEG, + ALT 3] 

In (5) and (6) above, the LP-Rules to be used in the analysis of the order of the Ìjà auxiliary verbs, are specified. There are some inferences to be observed from those category-valued features specified above; (7) highlights them:

---

1  Familiarity with GPSG as proposed in Gazdar et al. (1985) is assumed in this paper.
(7)  
(i)  No [+ AUX] can immediately precede either itself or its variants.  
(ii) All markers of the auxiliary verbs are subclasses of [+ AUX].  
(iii) All negative verbs except [+ NEG, ALT 1] cannot be preceded by 
      any other verb in the VP.  
(iv) The symbol "/" connotes "or" while "<<" means immediate 
      precedence.  

The LP-rule in (5) allows us to generalise that two negative markers are 
expressible within the same sentence. This generalisation is even specific to the 
Ìjesà dialect constructions as demonstrated in (8).

(8)  
(a)  E éègboodo mo be á 
      he  NEG OBL  NEG  beg us  
      "He cannot but apologise to us".

(b)  Án àn  yé mo kunin 
      they  NEG  POT  NEG  sing-song  
      "They cannot but sing the song".

In (3), (5) and (6) above, the necessary FCRs, LPRs and ID/LP format are 
expressed. However, it is equally pertinent to state the VPs in which the heads 
have the features in (4) above.

(9)  
(a) + BSE   : the head verb of the VP is a main verb: e.g., je 'eat' in je 
      ùgbín 'eat/ate snail'.  
(b) + OBL   : the head verb of the VP is an obligative: e.g. 
      gboodo/gbúdo 'must' in gboodo/gbúdo we 'must take 
      his/her bath'.  
(c) + PROG  : the head verb of the VP is a progressive: e.g. mí 'PROG', 
      in mí roko 'is cultivating'.  
(d) + NEG   : the head verb of the VP is a negative verb: e.g. 'not' in 
      Eegboodofo 'He mustn't talk'.  
(e) + POT   : the head verb of the VP is a potential: e.g. yé 'can/may' 
      in oito ni an yé pá. 'It is true that they can kill him.'

(f) + PERF  : the head verb of the VP as a perfect aspect: eg. tl² 
      'have/has/had' in tl suse 'have/has/had worked'.  
(g) + ASS   : the head of the VP is an assumptive: e.g. á 'will' in Dúpe 
      á jean 'Dúpe will eat the meat'.  
(h) + HAB   : the head verb of the VP is an habitual: e.g. i 'HAB' in E i 
      á 'He often comes here'.  
(i) + ALT   : the head verb of the VP is an alternant: e.g. [+ NEG, + 
      ALT 3] is u in Yejú ù nií pàteo 'Yejú doesn't often clap'.

2 Ìjesà has nine monothong vowels while SY has seven : /a, e, i, I, o, u, u/. Also note 
that the preposition ti is different from the perfect market tl.
As a way of introducing the alternates, GPSG expresses a number of significant generalizations, as shown in (10), to classify the variants of the auxiliary verbs. This implicitly implies that for every categorised primary marker of an auxiliary verb, the variants are also classified using the specified feature nodes. (10) is thereby used while distinguishing the primary markers from the variants. Similarly, to illustrate a category and the variable matching, (11) are the [+ ALT] features that do co-occur. (11f) has the structure in (12).

(10) \[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
[\text{ALT } n] \\
n \in \{1, 2, 3\}
\end{array} \right.
\]

(11) (a) [+ OBL, + ALT 1] = gbudo 'OBL' in *Me e gbÌpe* 'I will not accept his plead'.

(b) [+ PERF, + ALT 1] = tÌÌ 'has/have/had' in *Adé ëè tÌÌ sùn* 'Adé has not slept'.

(c) [+ NEG, ALT 1] = mo 'not' in *Mo on kánjú* 'Don't be too hurry'.

(d) [+ NEG, ALT 2] = ee 'not' in *Ee soni je* 'nobody ate it'.

(e) [+ NEG, ALT 3] = i 'not' in *Igí i rejà* 'Tree does not go to the market'.

(f) [+ ASS, ALT 1] = nií 'will' *Adé ëè nií sùn beee* 'Adé will not sleep now'.

(g) [+ ASS, ALT 2] = a 'will' *Àrìnì a doo oke re la a bora* 'He who lacks will only cover his body with nothing'.

(12)  

```
S
 NP      VP[+ NEG]
     V[15, PERF, + NEG]  VP[BSE]
       V[16, BSE]    NP
      Adé       ëè    nií    sùn    beee
      Adé       NEG    PERF    sleep    now
```
2. SEQUENTIAL CO-OCCURRENCE OF IJESA AUXILIARY VERBS:

The auxiliary verbs in Ìjesà dialect can be stacked, having more than one auxiliary verb in a structure. We shall, in this section, instantiate a thorough analysis of how the various formatives of the auxiliary verbs in the dialect co-occur. The Immediate Dominance (ID-rule) and Linear Precedence (LP-rule) that introduce the auxiliary verbs are in (13). Following Adéwolé (1989: 4), the finite rule schema that we will use to set the various auxiliary verbs in the right order is patterned in (14).

(13)  
   a. $VP^{+ AUX} \rightarrow H[n], (PP[I]), NP[- INF]$  
   b. $[SUBCAT] < [-SUBCAT]$  

(14) $VP^{+ X, + AUX} \rightarrow H[n] \ (PP \ [I]), VP[y, - INF]$  
where the values of $n$, $x$, and $y$ are given in (17).

It is worthy of note that $[AUX]$ is regarded as part of VP in GPSG; if $[AUX]$ is preceding any sentence, it must be specified as in (15). Again, the structure in (13b) above inhibits a number of constructions like (16).

(15) $VP^{+ AUX} \rightarrow H[n], VP[- AUX, +BSE]$  

(16) a. * isu pupa rà.  
    yam red buy  
   b. * orin dúndùn ko  
    song sweet sing  

(17)

| n        :     x               :     y               :     H[n] membership |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 1.        | + NEG                         | + PERF, + ALT 1              | mo 'not'         |
|           |                               | + POT, + ALT 1               |                  |
| 2.        | + NEG                         | + PERF, + ALT 1              | i 'not'          |
|           |                               | + POT, + ALT 1               |                  |
| 3.        | + NEG                         |                               | ee 'not'         |
|           |                               | + POT                         |                  |
|           |                               | + OBL                         |                  |
|           |                               | + PERF, + ALT 1              |                  |
|           |                               | + ASS, + ALT 1               |                  |
In (17) above, the first rule instantiation states that the value of n is 1 while that of x is [+ASP] and y is a set of options such as [+ PERF, ALT 1] or [+ POT, + ALT1]. The rule further adds that a VP [+ NEG, + AUX] node may dominate a lexical head [SUBCAT 1] followed by an optional PP (cf. 14) and a VP [- AUX, - INF] node. The type of lexical head that appears in a VP admitted by rule 1 may dominate the terminal symbol mo 'not' which is [+ NEG]. Thus, (18a & b) are grammatical while (18c & d) are ruled out in Ìjesà sentences.

(18) a. Mo kowé sí an.
    NEG write-book to them
    'Do not write to them'.

    b. E ee gboodo mo gbèbùn.
    3sg NEG OBL NEG take-prize
    'He/she mustn't but receive a prize'.

    c. *mo gboodo gbèbùn
    NEG OBL take-prize
Another negative verb is introduced in Rule 2: í 'not'. It stipulates that either perfect [+ PERF, + ALT 1] tÌ 'has' or the potential [+ POT, + ALT 1] yé 'can/may', can follow it. LP-Rule 2 will not allow it to be preceded by any verb as stated in (6) previously. Hence, (19a & b) are grammatical.

(19) a. Mí í yé sòro³
   I NEG POT say-word
   'I cannot but often express myself'.

b. Adé i tÌ lo roko
   Ade NEG PERF go hoe-farm
   'Ade would not have gone to farm'.

The LP-Rule in (6) allows the generation of the expressions in (19a & b) and licence the structures in (20) and (21).

(20) 

```
S
   NP
   VP [+ NEG]
       VP [+ NEG]
           VP
               Mé éè yé sòrò
```

³ The underlying structure in this construction is:

Mé éè yé sòrò
I NEG POT say-word
'I cannot but often express myself'.
Rule 3 introduces the negative verb /éé/án-án/úù/ 'not' and requires it to be followed only by either the perfect [+ PERF, +ALT 1] tį 'has', the assumptive [+ ASS, + ALT 1 & 2] nii/nii 'will', the potential [+ POT] yé 'can/may', or the obligative [+ OBL] gboodo/gbudo 'must'. The type of lexical head that appears in a VP admitted by rule 3, may dominate the terminal symbol which is also [+ NEG]. Hence, (22 a, b, c, d & e) are grammatically correct while (22f & g) are not.

(22) a. E ee gboodo fo
    3sg NEG OBL talk
    'He mustn't talk'.

b. Adé éè yé sùn
    Ade NEG POT sleep
    'Ade cannot be able to sleep'.

c. Án án-án yé gbé lérí omi
    they NEG POT live on water
    'They might not be able to live on water'.

d. Olú úù nii lota
    Olu NEG ASS grind-pepper.
    'Olú will not grind the pepper'.

e. Ayò óò tİ jeun
    Ay NEG PERF eat-food
    'Ay has not eat the food'.
Rule 4 introduces the progressive mí 'PROG' and it states that it must not be followed immediately by an item that has the feature [+ AUX]. It simply ensures that it must be followed by a base-form verb. The rule instantiation further says that a VP [+ ASP, + AUX] node may dominate a lexical head [SUBCAT 4] followed by an optional PP (see (14), and a VP [- AUX, - INF] node. (23a) will, therefore, be grammatical while (32b) will not because it violates the rule.

(23) a. Bólá mí tùwé.
   Bla PROG sell-book
   'Bólá is selling books'

   b. * Bólá mí yé tùwé.
   Bola PROG POT sell-book
   'Bólá can selling book'.

Rule 5 introduces the habitual í and also states that it must not be followed immediately by an item that has the feature [+ AUX]. This is why (24b & c) are ruled out in Ìjesà sentences.

(24) a. E í á.
   3sg HAB come
   'He always comes here'

   b. *E í gboodo á
   3sg HAB OBL come

c. *E í yé á
   3sg HAB POT come

Rule 6 introduces the perfect tI 'has' [+ PERF, - ALT] and requires it to be immediately followed by either the progressive mí, the obligative gboodo/gbudo 'must', the assumptive a 'will' or the potential yé 'can/may'. The rule does not permit the NEG 'not' to follow the perfect tI, as seen in (25e).

(25) a. Mo tI mí lo
   I PERF PROG go
   'I have started going'.
Rule 7 introduces the obligative gbudo/gbudo [+ OBL, + ALT 1]. It states that it can be followed immediately by the perfect tl [+ PERF, - ALT] 'has', the potential yé [+ POT, - ALT]. The rule forbids the assumptive a 'will' and the NEG 'not' to follow the obligative gbudo/gbudo 'must' as witnessed in (26c & d).

(26) a. Akin gbudo yé lota
    Akin OBL POT grind-pepper
    'Akin must be able to grind the pepper'.

    b. Yejú gbudo tl lo.
    Yeju OBL PERF go.
    'Yejú must have gone'.

    c. *An gbudo a beá
    they OBL will beg us.

    d. *Bídêmí gbudo ee nií lo.
    Bidemi OBL NEG ASS go.

Rule 8 introduces the potential modal yé 'can/may'. The instantiation rule ensures that it is either followed by the perfect tl or the progressive máa 'PROG' [+ PROG, + ALT 2]. It does not permit either the progressive mí [+ PROG, - ALT] or the obligative gbudo 'must' to follow the potential yé 'can/may'. Thus, (27a & b) are grammatical while (27c & d) are not.

(27) a. An yé máa wá mi a ká.
    they POT PROG search at about
    'They may be searching for me'.
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b. Á i mo mo yé tI lo.
   Its NEG know I POT PERF go.
   'I might have gone'.

c. *O yé mí sé.
   3sg POT PROG do.

d. *Túndé yé gbúdo kunin
   Tunde POT OBL sing-song.

The last instantiation rule in (17) i.e. rule 9 introduces the assumptive modal nií 'will' and requires it to be followed only by the perfect tI 'has' [+ PERF, + ALT 1]. Hence, the ungrammaticality of (28b and c).

(28) a. Adé éè nií tI sùn bee
   Ade NEG ASS PERF sleep now
   'Adé would not have slept by now'

b. *Adé éè nií gbúdo sùn bee.
   Ade NEG ASS POT sleep now.

c. Adé éè nií yé sùn bee.
   Ade NEG ASS POT sleep now.

While illustrating the feature instantiation principles that will determine string or tree admissibility, Gazdar et al. (1985: 98) does not specify both [+ INF] and [+ BSE] as VFORM values i.e. [VFORM FIN]. As a result, the distribution of features in trees will not be correctly matched. Following Adewole's (1989: 11–12) proposal, we can concatenate some of the rules in (17) and generate the sentence in (32). [VFORM FIN] will specify (29) as our S-expansion rule, (30) as Serial Verb expansion, while [VFORM BSE] specification will match (31) as the VP expansion rule. (32) will, consequently, admit and licence the structure in (33).

(29) S → NP, H[- SUBJ]

(30) VP[+ BSE] → V[16], ([XP]) VP
    where XP = [ ~V, BAR 2]

(31) VP [+ BSE] → H [1]

(32) Sopé éè tÌ gbúdo yé suse bee.
    sopé NEG PERF OBL POT do-word now
    'Sopé could not have started working'.

366
3. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

It shall be appropriate here to do a stumpy comparative study of the auxiliary verbs in Ìjesà dialect and SY. Phonologically, the markers are not similar. The markers are presented in (34).

(34) **Features**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>SY</th>
<th>Ìjà</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative [+ NEG]</td>
<td>kò/ò, má, ko, kíí/kìí</td>
<td>ěè/ee, i, mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfect [+ PERF]</td>
<td>ti, tíi</td>
<td>tI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential [+ POT]</td>
<td>lè/le/leè</td>
<td>yé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligative [+ OBL]</td>
<td>gbodo/gbodo</td>
<td>gbodo/gbúdo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitual [+ HAB]</td>
<td>máa n,</td>
<td>í</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive [+ PROG]</td>
<td>n, máa</td>
<td>mí</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptive [+ ASS]</td>
<td>yóò/ó/óò/á, nií</td>
<td>á/a, nií</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The previous section contains some highlights on the co-occurrence restrictions among the markers in Ìjà. Now, we shall present some sentences to reflect the function of the auxiliary verbs in SY.

(35) **Negative**

a. Olú ò lo
Olu NEG go
'Olu doesn't go'.

b. Olú kíí lo.
Olu NEG go
'Olu doesn't go'.

c. (Olú) má lo.
NEG go.
'Don't go'.

(36) **Perfect**

a. Olú ti jeun
Olu PERF eat
'Olu has eaten'.

---

4 A thorough analysis on how these markers co-occur in SY could be found in Adéwolé (1989:6-12).
b. Olú ो tii jeun
   Olu NEG PERF eat
   'Olu has not eaten'.

(37)  **Potential**
   Olú lè/le/leè si
   Olu POT do-work
   'Olu may/can work'.

(38)  **Obligative**
   Olú gbodo/gbodo wálé
   Olu OBL come-home
   'Olu must come home'.

(39)  **Habitual**
   a. Olú máa n korin
       Olu HAB sing-song
       'Olu always sing'.

   b. Olú n lo ilé-iwé lójoojúmó
       Olu HAB go school everyday
       'Olu goes to school everyday'.

(40)  **Progressive**
   a. Olú n sùn
       Olu PROG sleep
       'Olu is sleeping'.

   b. (Olú) máa jeun
       PROG eat
       'Be eating'.

(41)  **Assumptive**
   a. Olú yóò/ôò/ó lo
       Olu ASS go
       'Olu will go'.

   b. Olú kò nií wá.
       Olu NEG ASS come
       'Olu will not come'.

Other marked differences between the auxiliary verbs in Òjèşà and SY are the alternant analysis. As shown in (34), SY has four alternants for assumptive: [+ ASS, + ALT 1] níí, [+ ASS, + ALT 2] ó, [+ ASS, + ALT 3] óò, [+ ASS, + ALT 4] á; while Òjèşà dialect has two i.e. [+ ASS, + ALT 1] níí and [+ ASS, + ALT 2]
á/a. For the negative markers, SY has three alternants, i.e. [+ NEG, + ALT 1] má, [+ NEG, + ALT 2] ki/kií and [+ NEG, + ALT 3] ko, while Ìjèṣà has two i.e [+ NEG, + ALT 1] mo, [+ NEG, + ALT 3] i. Therefore, the sequential distributions of the [+ NEG, + AUX] in SY and Ìjèṣà will not be similar. The Linear Precedence Rule (LP-R) that will account for the distribution of AUX in SY is stated in (42); while that of Ìjèṣà is already stated in (6) above repeated here as (43).

(42) \[\text{LPR 1 : V } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [+ \text{NEG}] \\ [+ \text{NEG}, + \text{ALT 2}] \end{array} \right\} \]

(43) \[\text{LPR 2 : V } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [+ \text{NEG}] \\ [+ \text{NEG}, + \text{ALT 2}] \\ [+ \text{NEG}, + \text{ALT 3}] \end{array} \right\} \]

(42) states that all negative verbs except má [+ NEG, + ALT 1] and [K + NEG, + ALT 3] cannot be preceded by any other verb.

4. CONCLUSION

We have examined the sequence and co-occurrence of auxiliary verbs in Ìjèṣà dialect of Yorùbá. There are some restrictions in the occurrence of these verbs, and this determine their LP-Rules. We have also look at the areas of differences between the auxiliary verbs in Ìjèṣà and SY; both in terms of phonological contrast and structural distribution the markers are quite not similar.
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